

Correspondence In: 11/1/17 – 13/2/17

<i>Date</i>	<i>P/E</i>	<i>From</i>	<i>Details</i>	<i>Action</i>
16/1	E	PMHC – Liesa Davies	Acknowledging request for update	President
20/1	E	Joy Bevan	Thanks for assistance with clearing track from tennis courts to Community Hall	Committee
30/1	E	PMHC – Beau Spry	Information on Community Heritage Festival	Committee
31/1	E	Ramise	Paraglider comments for Committee	Committee
31/1	E	PMHC – Bree Scaysbrook	Confirming meeting re Pedestrian Refuge	Secretary
1/2	E	HCCU	Community Grants	Committee
2/2	E	LCPA – Sue Warner	Copy of letter to PMHC supporting BHPA submission on Changes to Land Use Rainbow Beach	Committee
2/2	E	PMHC – Peter Cameron	Strategy for the future of Bonny Hills	Committee
3/2	E	C2Hills Consultancy – Cara Dale	Comments on amenities block	President
3/2	E	PMHC – Sophie Manolas	Information on Building Better Regions Fund	Committee
3/2	E	PMHC – Kirsty Speelman	PMHC response to BHPA concerns re paragliders	Committee
10/2	P	HCCU	Statement	Treasurer
10/2	E	PMHC – Paul Bailey	Amenities block update	President
11/2	E	Jim Tredinnick	Advising availability for BHPA activities	Secretary

Correspondence Out: 11/1/17 – 13/2/17

<i>Date</i>	<i>P/E</i>	<i>To</i>	<i>Details</i>
15/1	E	PMHC – Liesa Davies	Update on paragliders
18/1	E	PMHC – Liam Bulley, Paul Bailey	Presidents notes on meeting re amenities block
25/1	E	PMHC – Lee Dixon, Liam Bulley	Summary of current issues with amenities block and options
29/1	E	PMHC – Bree Scaysbrook	Seeking update on proposed meeting re pedestrian refuge.
1/2	E	PMHC – Liesa Davies	Follow up to letter of 18 November
2/2	E	PMHC – Steve Schwartz	Submission on Changes to Land Use Rainbow Beach
2/2	E	PMHC – Peter Cameron	Bonny Hills of the Future
3/2	E	C2Hills Consultancy	Copy of Summary of current issues with amenities block and options
2/2	E	PMHC – Sophie Manolas	Building Better Regions Fund update.

5/2	E	PMHC – Sophie Manolas	Seeking information for amenities block Grant Application
8/2	E	PMHC – Clayton Miechel	Seeking update on Duchess Creek water quality and associated issues for AGM report
8/2	E	PMHC – Maree Smith	Seeking update on Blue Green algae for AGM report
8/2	E	BHPA members	Regional Planning Panel meeting
9/2	E	PMHC – Craig Swift-McNair, Liesa Davies, Liam Bulley	Presidents summary of meeting re paragliders
10/2	E	PMHC – Paul Bailey	Amenties block information and grant opportunities

General Business

Nil

Meeting Closed at 9.10pm

Signed as being a true record

Roger Barlow (President)

Phil Hafey (Secretary)

Meeting with Council staff re Paragliders at Bartlett's Reserve 09/02/17

Attending:

PMHC: Liesa Davies, Craig Swift McNair, Liam Bulley
BHPA: Phil Hafey, Bill Howell, Roger Barlow

Information provided by BHPA prior to the meeting follows:

Relevant/recent background information and documents :

1. Consent conditions doc sent by PMHC 18/10/16 for MNCF
2. Our letter to PMHC re resident's representations at the 15/11/16 BHPA meeting
3. Ramise witness statement re 'PMHC flier' 26/11/16
4. PMHC response to our letter 03/02/17
5. Ramise email 31/01/17 and attachment re risks associated with paragliding

We have not been informed directly about the licence agreements put in place for the private operators ? We have additional information/observations regarding flyer transgressions that could be tabled if useful.

In a nutshell:

- A decision has been made by the trustees, PMHC, to allow paragliding activity in association with other activity at Bartlett's Reserve and on the adjacent beach; and processes have been put in place which are designed to minimise risks to the public and the landscape.
- A number of residents don't want paragliding to coexist at Bartlett's and are not going to let this go for a while yet.
- We don't know for sure how representative their view is across our community, but our sense based on rather few representations to BHPA, is that the community would be quite divided on the issue, with possibly more for than against, particularly given that the issue seems to most relevant to those who live in the vicinity of and use Bartlett's.
- To 'settle things down' – if that is at all possible – a robust and transparent operational process will be needed from here on.

The key areas for discussion seem to be those we included in our letter [2 above]. Particularly

- transparency of PMHC decisions eg the risk assessment process;
- and the areas of monitoring, reporting and assessment of transgressions – which link with "quality assurance" under a self-regulated system;
- and there will always be the Achilles heel of 'non-club' members dropping in unannounced. A minefield.
- Trial report – what was learned and what was changed as a result
- How are reports of transgression to be handled and outcomes communicated [eg the PMHC staff member reported by Ramise]
- And we need information on the private operators licence agreements

Follow on discussion could be around:

How to communicate the above most effectively to the BH community at large

The opponents are definitely feeling neglected, so a more personalised response would help---

Meeting outcomes/answers to queries:

1. The matter raised by Ramise in her communication of 26/11/16 is still under active investigation by PMHC. Communicating the results of this investigation would provide some confidence to residents.
2. Liam will provide the dates by which the two private operators received licence approval and the licence conditions [though it is understood that these differ little from those for the MNCF].
3. Liam will provide details of the risk assessment process used for 'public consumption'.
4. The problem of dealing with 'Cowboys' and/or unannounced visiting flyers still persists.
PMHC will talk with MNCF about their suggestions for dealing with this issue, and about how to differentiate local flyers from these people.
A suggestion was made that since visitors should make contact with MNCF a record of such visits should be kept; and this could be included as a condition.
5. The means for reporting transgressions and the level of detail required needs to be communicated effectively by PMHC. In part this will be achieved by proposed signage, but not the level of detail required for reports to be useful.
Even the flyers have been learning on the run in relation to their responsibilities.
6. Similarly the licence conditions would be unknown to most residents.
7. The trial period has been extended by three months because of the late 'entry' of the two commercial entities. This is useful also because all the planks were not in place until recently and even then knowledge of the trial and reporting requirements would be poor among most residents; plus signage was only temporary and is now non-existent.
8. The trial period is the big chance for residents to have an input into changing licence conditions before an evaluation takes place [see point 9 below]. Incident reporting would be the main means for doing this.
9. Following the end of the trial – end of March – a review will be undertaken by PMHC in collaboration with the flyers, of what worked well, and what needs to change – as a result of reported incidents - and so what conditions might need to be changed.
This is a critical communication point.
10. Improved communication was a recurring theme.
 - a. Immediately there is a need to provide feedback to those who have reported a transgression or written to Council; if this has not been done already. An email to be sent to Ramise and Lucas by Monday 13th updating them.
 - b. BHPA will provide information on this discussion at their next Committee meeting, 14th February
 - c. The community needs to be advised of conditions of consent and the current trial period, and reporting conditions. An interim CH Courier or BH Newsletter article [too late unfortunately] referencing more detailed information on the PMHC website?
 - d. The next big opportunity will be following the review of the trial., most likely in April. A CHC article should be considered for this purpose. Other possibilities can be canvassed at the time.

Roger Barlow
09/02/17